

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,226	01/18/2001	Baining Liu	101215-55	7377
75	590 01/15/2004		EXAM	INER
Bruce S. Lond		NGUYEN, TUAN N		
NORRIS, McLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A 30th Floor 220 East 42nd Street New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

è		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/765,226	LIU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuan N Nguyen	2828				
Daried fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experied for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Augu	est 22, 2003.					
		action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 3,4,6,7 and 9-12 is/are pending in the	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		ρ . 0 \circ				
	Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,7 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.		Parly				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		PAUL IP				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				
Applicat	ion Papers		ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmer		<u>~</u>					
2) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Response to Amendment

1. In responding to applicant's amendment filed August 20, 2003, claims 3,4,6,7,9,11 claim 12 has been added, and claims 1,2,5,8 have been canceled. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-12 are pending.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, 4, 6, 7, 9-12 have been considered but are most in view of new ground of rejection.

Drawings

3. New corrected drawings are required in this application because it is not acceptable to the draftsperson, see the attached Notice of Draftsperson drawing review

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 3, 4, 9, 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Gries et al. (US 6633595) or Zanger et al. (US 6317449), or Pelouch et al. (US 5383198).

With respect to claims 3, 4, and 12 Gries '595 discloses and shows in figure 1 " A frequency-converter laser apparatus comprising an optical pumping source for producing optical pumping radiation (Fig 1: Multimode laser); a unidirectional ring cavity comprising a frequency conversion crystal, a prism and mirror arrangement, wherein the frequency conversion crystal is positioned such that the radiation produced by the optical pumping source enters in a

Application/Control Number: 09/765,226 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

predetermined direction, and wherein the frequency conversion crystal is a symmetrical Brewster-angled crystal (Fig 1: Prism, mirror 1 & 2, and optically nonlinear crystal "Brewster-angled"). Pelouch et al. '198 also shows a unidirectional ring cavity comprising a Brewster-angle crystal, prism, and mirrors arrangement, such that the radiation produced by the optical pumping source enters in a predetermined direction (Fig 1: 18-30). Zanger et al. ' 449 also shows a similar structural (Fig 4). Since claims 3, and 12 recites the same or identical limitations it is inherent to use patents ('595), or '198, or ('449) to recite the method of producing frequency-converted laser radiation, product by process.

With respect to claims 9 and 11, Gries '595 disclosed the crystal made from BBO "ABSTRACT; Col 1: 60-61), and the prism connected to a piezoelectric element (Col 2: 15-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or non-obviousness.

٠, ، ،

7. Claims 6,7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gries et al. (US 6633595) or Zanger et al. (US 6317449), or Pelouch et al. (US 5383198).

With respect to claims 6, 7, 10 Gries '595 discloses the above, and further shows the coupling optic disposed between pump source and ring cavity. The claims further require a stage amplifier and an external resonant cavity. Even though Gries '595 did not explicitly disclosed a stage amplifier, however the ABSTRACT disclosed the "arrangement for resonant frequency doubling..." suggest the stage amplifier is inherently built in, and the ring cavity is an external resonant cavity, it is within one skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's discloses.

Stappaerts (US 5341236), Ellingson et al. (US 5406408), Maker (US 5357537), Kung (US 6005878).

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP 706.07. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/765,226

Art Unit: 2828

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tuan N Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 -1948.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30 - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571) 272-1941. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Tuan N. Nguyen Tuan Ngy

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800